

AUG 03 2006

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JOSE AYALA MARTINEZ,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 05-73833

Agency No. A79-276-744

MEMORANDUM^{*}

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 24, 2006 ^{**}

Before: ALARCÓN, HAWKINS, and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Jose Ayala Martinez, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his motion to reopen removal proceedings. We dismiss the petition for review.

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

The evidence Ayala Martinez presented with his motion to reopen concerned the same basic hardship grounds as his application for cancellation of removal. *See Fernandez v. Gonzales*, 439 F.3d 592, 602-03 (9th Cir. 2006). We therefore lack jurisdiction to review the BIA's determination that the evidence was insufficient to establish a prima facie case of hardship. *See id.* at 601 (holding that if "the BIA determines that a motion to reopen proceedings in which there has already been an unreviewable discretionary determination concerning a statutory prerequisite to relief does not make out a prima facie case for that relief," 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(2)(B)(i) bars this court from revisiting the merits).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.